

MOUNT & STOELKER, P.C.
RIVERPARK TOWER, SUITE 1650
333 WEST SAN CARLOS STREET
SAN JOSE, CALIFORNIA 95110-2740
TELEPHONE (408) 279-7000

Daniel S. Mount, Esq. (Cal. Bar No. 77517)
Kathryn G. Spelman, Esq. (Cal. Bar No. 154512)
Daniel H. Fingerman, Esq. (Cal. Bar No. 229683)
Kevin M. Pasquinelli, Esq. (Cal. Bar No. 246985)
Mount & Stoelker, P.C.
RiverPark Tower, Suite 1650
333 West San Carlos Street
San Jose CA 95110-2740
Phone: (408) 279-7000
Fax: (408) 998-1473
Email: dmount@mount.com
kspelman@mount.com
dfingerman@mount.com
kpasquinelli@mount.com

Attorneys for Defendants Romi Mayder, Wesley Mayder,
Silicon Test Systems Inc., and Silicon Test Solutions LLC

United States District Court
Northern District of California, San Jose Division

VERIGY U.S. INC., a Delaware corporation

Plaintiff,

vs.

ROMI OMAR MAYDER, an individual;
WESLEY MAYDER, an individual;
SILICON TEST SYSTEMS INC., a
California corporation; SILICON TEST
SOLUTIONS LLC, a California limited
liability corporation,

Defendants.

Case No. 5:07-cv-04330 (RMW) (HRL)

**[Proposed] Order Granting Defendants'
Administrative Motion For Leave To File
Documents Under Seal**

MOUNT & STOELKER, P.C.
RIVERPARK TOWER, SUITE 1650
333 WEST SAN CARLOS STREET
SAN JOSE, CALIFORNIA 95110-2740
TELEPHONE (408) 279-7000

1 Now before the court is the Defendants' Administrative Motion For Leave To File Documents
2 Under Seal. Upon consideration of the motion and the supporting declaration filed therewith, the
3 court finds there to be good cause for granting the Defendants' request to file documents under seal.
4 Good cause having been shown, the court finds that the parties possess an overriding confidentiality
5 interest that overcomes the right of public access to the record in the documents entitled:

- 6 • Defendants' Brief in Response to Verigy's Evidentiary Objection "
- 7 • Exhibits B and Exhibit C to the Declaration of Kevin M. Pasquinelli in Support of
- 8 Defendants Brief in Response to Verigy's Evidentiary Objection.

9 The parties' overriding confidentiality interests support sealing the above-identified document.
10 A substantial probability exists that the parties' overriding confidentiality interest would be
11 prejudiced if the record is not sealed. The proposed sealing is narrowly tailored, and no less
12 restrictive means exist to achieve the parties' overriding interests.

13 It is therefore ordered that the Defendants' Administrative Motion For Leave To File
14 Documents Under Seal is GRANTED.

15
16 Dated: _____
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28